

Please amend the Abstract to read as follows:

ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

A4
A magnetic flux shield extending substantially the entire width of a magnetic disk drive spindle motor magnet is mounted between the magnet and the disk drive's base frame and rotates with the magnet. The flux shield is formed of a magnetic material to capture any stray magnetic flux from the motor magnet that may produce power draining eddy currents in the base frame.

In the claims:

Please amend claims 5-7, 13 and 14, and add new claims 16-18 as follows:

- A5
5. (Amended) A motor as claimed in claim 1 wherein the shield is glued to the axial end of the magnet facing the base frame.
 6. (Amended) A motor as claimed in claim 1 wherein the shield extends the entire width of the magnet but is limited to extending the radial width of the magnet.
 7. (Amended) A motor as claimed in claim 1 therein the base frame defines a well, the magnet and back iron extending axially from a lower surface of the rotor and being axially below the discs so that the stator and magnet and back iron of

the motor are all axially located below the hub and the discs supported by the hub.

13. (Amended) A motor as claimed in claim 9 wherein the shield is glued to the axial end of the magnet facing the base frame.

14. (Amended) A motor as claimed in claim 12 wherein the shield extends the entire width of the magnet but is limited to extending the radial width of the magnet.

16. (New) The motor as claimed in claim 1 wherein the flux shield is spaced from the magnet.

17. (New) The motor as claimed in claim 8 wherein the means formed of a magnetic material to capture any stray magnetic flux from the motor magnet is spaced from the magnet.

18. (New) The motor as claimed in claim 9 wherein the flux shield is spaced from the magnet.

REMARKS

Claims 1-18 are pending. Claims 5-7, 13 and 14 are amended to correct ambiguities. Claims 16-18 are new. Each of the new claims depends from an independent claim (1, 8, or 9) and calls for the "flux shield" (claims 16 and 18) or its "means" equivalent (claim 17) to be spaced from the magnet. Support for this limitation is found that the specification page 6, line 3.

Paragraph 0005 of the specification has been amended two places. At line 3 (5 above), "magnetic" has been changed to --